

Arch-Manche:

Archaeology, Art and Coastal Heritage - tools to support coastal management and climate change planning across the Channel Regional Sea: Technical Report



Arch-Manche
ARCHAEOLOGY, ART AND COASTAL HERITAGE



Contents

Acknowledgements.....	1
List of Figures.....	5
List of Tables.....	26
Section One – Introduction.....	28
Section Two – Methodology.....	90
Section Three – Case Study Introduction.....	140
➤ Case Study 3A - East Anglia, UK.....	142
➤ Case Study 3B - Kent, UK.....	200
➤ Case Study 3C - Hastings, UK.....	247
➤ Case Study 3D - Solent and the Isle of Wight, UK.....	286
➤ Case Study 3E - West Dorset and East Devon, UK.....	401
➤ Case Study 3F - West Cornwall, UK.....	422
➤ Case Study 3G - North Cornwall, UK.....	439
➤ Case Study 3H - Côte d'Emeraude, France.....	454
➤ Case Study 3I - Trégor- North Finistère, France.....	493
➤ Case Study 3J - Cornouailles, France.....	569
➤ Case Study 3K - Quiberon and Morbihan.....	609
➤ Case Study 3L - Ostend-Raversijde, Belgium.....	681
➤ Case Study 3M - Scheldt polders, Belgium.....	717
➤ Case Study 3N - Southwestern Netherlands.....	752
Section Four – Analysis.....	822
Section Five – Conclusions and Recommendations.....	855
Bibliography.....	858

Acknowledgements

Below are details of the partner organisations and teams involved in the Arch-Manche project along with a list of key external organisations and personnel. This is followed by information on the technical report authors. This project has been part funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the INTERREG IVA 2 Seas Programme. This report reflects the authors' views. The INTERREG IVA 2-Seas Programme Authorities are not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

We would also like to thank Wilde Petrone and Céline Van Den Abeele from the INTERREG IVA 2 Seas Programme for their help and support during the project.

1. Partner Organisations and Staff Teams

The Arch-Manche project was led by the Maritime Archaeology Trust in the UK in partnership with the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique in France, the University of Ghent in Belgium and the research institute Deltares in the Netherlands.

Maritime Archaeology Trust

Julie Satchell
Lauren Tidbury
Garry Momber
Phillipa Naylor
Jasmine Noble-Shelley
Kathryn Dagless
Stephen Fisher
Julian Whitewright
Virginia Dellino-Musgrave

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique

Marie-Yvane Daire
Catherine Dupont
Gregor Marchand
Catherine Le Gall
Francis Bertin
Jean-Baptiste Barreau
Laurent Quesnel
Vincent Bernard
Pau Olmos
Chloe Martin

University of Ghent

Tine Missiaen
Iason Jongepier
Katrien Heirman
Dimitris Evangelinos
Jeroen Verhegge

Deltares

Peter Vos

2. Steering Committee

In order to ensure that the project was on course and that the outputs were relevant, disseminated and applied each partner evaluated the project through a steering committee. The members are listed below:

Samantha Cope, Channel Coastal Observatory, National Oceanography Centre
Peter Murphy, English Heritage
Yves-Marie Paulet – University of Western Brittany (UBO) and Institute of Marine Studies (IUEM)
Olivia Hulot – DRASSM
Marnix Pieters – Flanders Heritage Agency
Kathy Belpaeme – Coördinatiepunt Duurzaam Kustbeheer
Hans Peeters – GIA-Groningen

3. External Personnel, Contractors and Contributors

Robin McInnes
Loïc Langouët
Elias López-Romero
Andrew Williams
Roland Brooks
Jane Maddocks
Rob Scaife
Caroline Barrie-Smith
Peter Wilson
Jan Gillespie
Christin Heamagi
Brandon Mason
Edwige Motte
Dave Wendes
Latetia Le-Ru
Hervé Regnauld
Jason Sadler
Homme Zwaagstra

4. Technical Report Authors

The following acknowledgements for authorship recognise the specific contributions of individuals to the various sections of the report. Inevitably a large number of individuals have provided text and images to form this technical report. Further details on how to cite the case study reports are provided at the end of each report.

Editing and formatting: Julie Satchell & Lauren Tidbury

Section 1 Introduction: Julie Satchell, Lauren Tidbury, Robin McInnes, Tine Missiaen, Marie-Yvane Daire, Iason Jongepier, Garry Momber

Section 2 Methodology: Robin McInnes, Julie Satchell, Lauren Tidbury, Robin McInnes, Tine Missiaen, Marie-Yvane Daire, Iason Jongepier, Garry Momber

Section 3: Case Study Reports:

Case Study 3A East Anglia: Lauren Tidbury and Robin McInnes

Case Study 3B Kent: Lauren Tidbury, Stephen Fisher, Robin McInnes

Case Study 3C Hastings: Lauren Tidbury, Stephen Fisher, Robin McInnes

Case Study 3D Solent & Isle of Wight: Lauren Tidbury, Garry Momber, Jan Gillespie, Julie Satchell, Robin McInnes, with contributions from Tine Missiaen and Dimitris Evangelinos

Case Study 3E West Dorset & East Devon: Robin McInnes

Case Study 3F West Cornwall: Robin McInnes

Case Study 3G North Cornwall: Lauren Tidbury, Stephen Fisher, Julie Satchell,

Case Study 3H Côte d'Emeraude: Marie-Yvane Daire, Chloé Martin and Pau Olmos, with contributions from Loïc Langouët, Laetitia Le Ru, Edwige Motte, and Laurent Quesnel

Case Study 3I Tregor & Northern Finistere: Pau Olmos, Chloé Martin and Marie-Yvane Daire, , with contributions from Vincent Bernard, Loïc Langouët, Edwige Motte, Elias López-Romero and Laurent Quesnel.

Case Study 3J Cornouailles: Chloé Martin, Marie-Yvane Daire, Pau Olmos and Elias López-Romero , with contributions from Edwige Motte and Laurent Quesnel

Case Study 3K Quiberon: Marie-Yvane Daire, Chloé Martin and Pau Olmos, with contributions from Grégor Marchand, Catherine Dupont, Laetitia Le Ru, Edwige Motte, and Laurent Quesnel

France General - Fieldwork (in France) has been supported by:
AMARAI (Association Manche Atlantique pour la Recherche Archéologique dans les Îles)
UMR 6566 CReAAH (Centre de Recherche en Archéologie, Archéosciences, Histoire)
OSUR (Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de Rennes)
Ministère de la Culture
Département du Morbihan

Case Study 3L Ostend – Raversijde: Tine Missiaen, Dimitris Evangelinos and Iason Jongepier. The research carried out for this case study was partly funded by different national and international projects, including the Flemish IWT project “Archaeological heritage in the North Sea (SeArch)”. The field work was done in collaboration with the Flemish Heritage Agency (Agentschap Onroerend Erfgoed), and the department of Soil Management from Ghent University (EMI data, Prof. M. Van Meirvenne). Captain and crew of the Last Freedom are gratefully acknowledged for their support in the marine surveys. Cor Verbruggen en Bregt Diependaele from Eijkelkamp are thanked for their crucial assistance with the hand augering. We also thank Ine Demerre, Oscar Zurita, Maikel De Clercq, Koen De Rycker, Samuel Delefortrie for their help with the field work and Marnix Pieters for his help with the report.

Case Study 3M Scheldt Polders: Tine Missiaen, Katrien Heirman & Iason Jongepier. The research carried out for this case study was co-funded by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO, project “Archaeological exploration across the land-sea boundary in the Doelpolder Noord area (Westerschelde estuary): impact of sea-level rise on the landscape and human occupation, from the prehistory to medieval times”). The field work was done in close collaboration with the department of Archaeology from Ghent University (Prof. Ph. Crombé, J. Verhegge). VLIZ, DAB Vloot and Deltares (NL) are gratefully acknowledged for their logistic support of the marine and land seismic surveys. We thank Oscar Zurita, Maikel De Clercq, Koen De Rycker, Wim Versteeg and numerous students for their help with the field work and/or data processing. I. Jongepier wishes to thank the University Research Fund (Bijzonder Onderzoekfonds - BOF) for additional co-funding

Case Study 3N Netherlands: Peter C. Vos, F.D. Zeiler, Yurie Eijskoot, Frans P.M. Bunnik, Holger Cremer, Kim M. Cohen, Tine Missiaen & Iason Jongepier. The fieldwork has been conducted in several campaigns, and by several institutions, including the Port of Rotterdam and BOOR.”

Section 4 Analysis: Lauren Tidbury, Julie Satchell, Robin McInnes, Marie-Yvane Daire, Tine Missiaen, Iason Jongepier, Edwige Motte.

Section 5 Conclusions: Robin McInnes, Lauren Tidbury, Julie Satchell



List of Figures

Section 1. Introduction

Figure 1.1. Arch-Manche case study areas and partners.

Figure 1.2. Summary geological map of the coastline of the Channel-Southern North Sea.

Figure 1.3. Chalk cliffs at The Needles, Isle of Wight, UK.

Figure 1.4. The spectacular chalk cliff landforms of the Côte d'Albâtre, Etretat, Upper Normandy.

Figure 1.5. The famous Chesil Beach shingle spit in Dorset, UK, links the Isle of Portland to the adjacent coast.

Figure 1.6. Low lying coastal communities along the Channel –Southern North Sea coasts are vulnerable to flooding aggravated by sea level rise & post-glacial land settlement.

Figure 1.7. The hard rock coastline of Brittany in north-west France.

Figure 1.8. Photo of Fairlight near Hastings on the coast of East Sussex, UK.

Figure 1.9. Dunes and beach – the Zeeland coast.

Figure 1.10. The beach at Knokke, Belgium.

Figure 1.11. Lithics from the Palaeolithic site of Fermanville, France.

Figure 1.12. Left: worked and channelled timber recovered from Bouldnor Cliff. Right: Collection of flint flakes recovered from Bouldnor Cliff.

Figure 1.13. Excavation of the Neolithic Groh-Colle site on the coast of Brittany, France.

Figure 1.14. Coastal scene at St Helens, Isle of Wight, UK.

Figure 1.15. 'Lulworth Cove, Dorset' by William Daniell RA, 1825. Aquatint.

Figure 1.16. 'Mevagissey, Cornwall' by William Daniell RA, 1825. Aquatint.

Figure 1.17. 'The Beach at Scheveningen' by Adriaen van de Velde. Oil on canvas, 1658.

Figure 1.18. 'On the South Devon Coast' by Samuel Edward Kelly, c.1910. Watercolour.

Figure 1.19. 'Vue de la Plage de Dieppe' by Edouard Hostein (1804-1889). Oil on canvas.

Figure 1.20. 'Pegwell Bay, Kent – a recollection of October 5th 1858' by William Dyce (1806-1864).

Figure 1.21. 'Yarmouth', 1891. Watercolour. Charles Robertson (1844-1891).

Figure 1.22. 'Katwijk Church, Netherlands'. Image courtesy Cdr J Morton Lee.

Figure 1.23. Typical T-O maps as found during the Middle Ages.

Figure 1.24. Territorial map of the district of Bruges (Franc de Bruges) by Pieter Pourbus, 1561-71

Figure 1.25. Victorian seaside developments at Hastings, East Sussex, UK, c.1890.

Figure 1.26. Bathing machines line the beach at Ventnor, Isle of Wight, UK, c.1900.

Figure 1.27. Storm surges create huge waves in Freshwater Bay on the Isle of Wight during storms in January 2014.

Figure 1.28. Coastal Hazards, Human Activity and Risk

Figure 1.29. The village of Blackgang on the south west coast of the Isle of Wight, UK.

Figure 1.30. Newtown National Nature Reserve on the Isle of Wight, UK.

Figure 1.31. Dune coast near Ijmuiden, Netherlands.

Figure 1.32. Hurst Spit, Hampshire, UK

Figure 1.33. The city of Portsmouth, Hampshire.

Figure 1.34. Some important studies that have provided valuable data and information on coastal hazards and risks.

Figure 1.35. Coastal monitoring programmes provide valuable data to inform coastal risk management.

Figure 1.36. Chalk cliffs at Criel-sur-Mer, Upper Normandy.

Figure 1.37. Vulnerable coastal villas at Mesnil-Val, Upper Normandy. Image

Section 2. Methodology

Figure 2.1. View of the Western Solent case study area.

Figure 2.2. Bonchurch, Isle of Wight, UK. Edward William Coooke RA, oil on canvas c1850.

Figure 2.3. 'A Beach Scene at Southwold, Suffolk' by Thomas Smythe (1825-1904). Oil on canvas.

Figure 2.4. The coastline at Luccombe, Isle of Wight.

Figure 2.5. 'The Old Undercliff Road, Niton, Isle of Wight' by George James Knox.

Figure 2.6. 'The Harbour, Lowestoft, Suffolk' by Alfred Robert Quinton. Watercolour, c.1920.

Figure 2.7. Examples of the depiction of the division of supra-, inter- and sub-tidal areas within estuaries and tidal basins.

Figure 2.8. Examples of the depiction of inlets within cliff coasts: left = well depicted, right = depicted.

Figure 2.9. Examples of depiction of division of dunes and beaches on sandy coasts.

Figure 2.10. Program interface MapAnalyst.

Figure 2.11. Ranking of maps based on chronometric accuracy.

Figure 2.82. The Kernic beach and prehistoric passage grave.

Figure 2.13. Location of dive sites in the Solent, UK.

Figure 2.94. Diver at work on the site of Bouldnor Cliff.

Figure 2.105. Location of intertidal sites in the UK.

Figure 2.116. The RTK GPS system in use on a timber structure off Hayling Island.

Figure 2.127. Location of Intertidal Excavations in France.

Figure 2.138. Location of geophysical and geotechnical investigations.

Figure 2.149. Marine seismic reflection principle.

Figure 2.20. Art field studies.

Figure 2.21. The Arch-Manche database schema.

Figure 2.152. Form view of the Arch / Palaeoenvironmental table via the web-interface.

Figure 2.23. The Arch-Manche Geoportal

Section Three – Case Studies.

Figure 3.1 Location of the fourteen Arch-Manche case study areas and the partner organisations.

Figure 3A16. Map of the East Anglia Study Areas

Figure 3A17. Reconstruction of the Dunwich boundary.

Figure 3A18. Map showing the distribution of all archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the East Anglia study area

Figure 3A19. Map showing distribution of highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the East Anglia study area

Figure 3A20. Location of historic photos in the East Anglia case study area

Figure 3A21. Location of the two maps assessed along the East Anglian coastline.

Figure 3A22. Location of the artistic depictions.

Figure 3A23. 'Cromer Beach' by John Varley, watercolour 1802.

Figure 3A24. 'Cromer' by John Moore of Ipswich, c.1850, oil on canvas.

Figure 3A25. 'Cromer' by John Moore of Ipswich, c.1850, oil on canvas.

Figure 3A26. 'Yarmouth Jetty, Norfolk'. Rock & Co., 1850

Figure 3A27. 'Yarmouth' by Edward William Cooke, RA, 1838, steel engraving

Figure 3A28. 'Gorleston, Suffolk'. Joseph Lambert, 1822. Copper plate engraving.

Figure 3A29. 'Pakefield' by Alfred Stannard, 1882, oil on canvas.

Figure 3A30. Coastal instability at Pakefield, early twentieth century.

Figure 3A31. 'Southwold' by Helen Clarke, 1899, watercolour.

Figure 3A32. 'Wreck of the Princess Augusta on Southwold Beach' by J. B. Ladbroke, 1838.

Figure 3A33. 'The beach at Southwold' by Thomas Smythe', c.1860, oil on canvas. .

Figure 3A34. 'Southwold Harbour' by William Daniell, RA, 1822, aquatint.

Figure 3A35. 'Slaughden Quay' by John Moore of Ipswich, 1883, oil.

Figure 3A36. 'Orford Ness Lighthouse, Suffolk' by William Daniel, RA, 1822, aquatint.

Figure 3A37. Covehithe.

Figure 3A38. The use of historic maps and paintings.

Figure 3A39. The lighthouses at Orford Ness depicted in 1783, 1822, 1880 and 2013.

Figure 3A40. 1st Edition OS Map showing the high water mark at Happisburgh.

Figure 3B41. Map of the Kent study areas.

Figure 3B42. Map showing the distribution of archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Kent study area

Figure 43B3. Map showing the distribution of highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Kent study area.

Figure 3B4. Map showing the distribution of artworks ranked within the Kent case study area.

Figure 3B5. The image on the left is a map of Dover from 1661 the image on the right is an aerial photograph of Dover from 2013.

Figure 3B6. Map of the Isle of Thanet from 1836, from John Dower in Thomas Moule's The English Counties Delineated.

Figure 3B7. Reculver Church', North Kent coast by Wiliam Daniell, RA engraved in 1824.

Figure 3B8. Charles Lyell cited the Roman shore fort of Regulbium (i.e. Reculver) as evidence of the scale and rate of marine erosion.

Figure 3B9. Lyell's view of 1834 shows the significant retreat of the shoreline since the previous engraving (above) was made in 1781.

Figure 3B440. The Reculver', commonly called 'The Two Sisters' by James Malton, 1798.

Figure 3B11. The Rev. J. Skinner recorded the wall of the Roman fort at Reculver in his diary around 1813 after the cliff had been drastically undercut by erosion.

Figure 3B452. In this view of Reculver by James Ward painted in 1818 a range of coastal defences can be seen at the foot of the soft cliff line.

Figure 3B463. A further view of Reculver Church from the east side by Stewart Westmacott painted in 1851.

Figure 3B474. 'Margate' by William Daniell engraved in 1824.

Figure 3B485. The present day view of Margate

Figure 3B496. 'Pegwell Bay – Recollections of 5th October 1858' by William Dyce, RA.

Figure 3B507. The chalk cliff frontage at Pegwell Bay today

Figure 3B518. Deal Castle' by William Daniell, RA engraved in 1824.

Figure 3B19. In his view of 'Walmer Castle' (1824) Daniell provides us with an extensive and detailed depiction of the shoreline and cliffs.

Figure 3B520. An oil painting by Henry Pether (c.1852)

Figure 3B531. The Leas Cliff Hall in about 1900.

Figure 3B542. Photograph taken in 1900

Figure 3B553. The present day view, shows the coastal slope, which has faced some instability problems and the upgraded coastal defences including rock groynes.

Figure 3B564. William Stukeley's 'bird's eye view' of the southern forts, showing Deal Castle (foreground) and Walmer Castle. 1725.

Figure 3B575. War Department plan of Walmer Castle (1725)

Figure 3B586. Wenceslaus Hollar's engraving of Deal Castle, 1824.

Figure 3B597. Ordnance Survey maps of Deal Castle.

Figure 3B608. Two views of Sandown Castle; a plate from the London Illustrated News, 1853 and; a Royal Engineer Map from 1860-1865.

Figure 3B29. Sandown castle seen from the beach in the early 1900's.

Figure 3C61. Map of the Hastings Study Areas.

Figure 3C62. Map showing the distribution of archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Hastings study area

Figure 3C63. Location of artistic depictions ranked

Figure 3C64. Location of historic photos assessed within the Hastings study area

Figure 3C65. Location of the historic map ranked within the Hastings case study area

Figure 3C66. 'Rescue at Hastings' by William George Moss, 1814.

Figure 3C67. An oil by the prolific Hastings artist, William Henry Burrow, dated 1885.

Figure 3C68. A further oil by W. H. Borrow, dated 1879,

Figure 3C69. An oil by Edwin Hayes entitled 'Old Hastings', 1880,

Figure 3C70. 'Remains of the Elizabethan Harbour at Hastings' by Charles A. Graves, 1901.

Figure 3C71. A woodcut, circa 1880, showing the beach and fishing village.

Figure 3C72. A detailed watercolour of the eastern end of Hastings beach in about 1920 by Alfred Robert Quinton.

Figure 3C73. This lithograph, published in about 1850, looks westwards from below the cliffs and shows the fishing village and town beyond.

Figure 3C74. A panoramic view by W. H. Borrow from the top of the cliffs looking westwards in 1881.

Figure 3C75. Aerial photo of Hastings showing the high ranking submerged forests of Little Galley Hill and Bulverhythe within the Hastings study area

Figure 3C76. A view from Hastings Beach, comparison of the high ranking 1920's painting (Courtesy J.Salmon Ltd) with a current photo (copyright N Chadwiick).

Figure 3C77. The image on the left shows the view eastwards from Willowpit Wood, the Fairlight coastguard station can be seen in the distance (red star), the modern image on the right shows the current position of the coastguard station in the background, and the approximate position of the photographer above Willowpit Wood in the foreground

Figure 3C78. Historic OS Maps from 1875-1938.

Figure 3C79 (A&B). The top photo (A) shows Hastings Harbour Arm in 1918, below (B) is a present day aerial image.

Figure 3C80. Plan of Hasting and St Leonards (left) Bacon 1890. Aerial photo of the same area, the yellow box marks the area covered by the 1890 plan (right).

Figure 3C81. 1st Edition OS Map overlaid on 2013 photography. The red arrow depicts the changing high water mark

Figure 3D82. Map of the Solent and Isle of Wight Case Study Area.

Figure 3D2. Damage caused by the storms in January 2014

Figure 3D3. Map showing the distribution of archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Solent study areas

Figure 3D4. Map showing distribution of highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Solent study area.

Figure 3D5. Location of dive sites in the Solent, UK

Figure 3D6. Location of intertidal sites in the Solent, UK

Figure 3D7. White chalk cliffs on the south west side of the Isle of Wight

Figure 3D8. Map of the Solent study area showing the places mentioned in the text.

Figure 3D9. Images showing the development of the western Solent.

Figure 3D10. A 3,800 year old palaeo-landsurface lying just 0.5m below Chart Datum remains protected on the east side of Hurst Spit (this side of the Spit in the image).

Figure 3D11. Active erosion and rotational sliding forming a cliff along the north edge of Victoria Country Park.

Figure 3D12. Fallen trees below Bouldnor Hill resulting from cliff erosion

Figure 3D13. Saltmarsh and mudflat regression from 1781, 1934, 1991 and 2013 based on the analysis of historic maps.

Figure 3D14. Erosion and degradation accentuated by marine boring is evident within the relatively recently exposed submerged landscapes in the north-west Solent.

Figure 3D15. Schematic across Bouldnor Cliff showing the sediment layers and relict submerged land-surface at the base of the cliff.

Figure 3D16. The 8-9m high submerged cliff at Bouldnor runs for 1km along the edge of the Solent channel parallel with the shore.

Figure 3D17. Annotated bathymetric survey conducted in 2003.

Figure 3D18. Sample being recovered by divers from prehistoric landscape site.

Figure 3D19. 60 cm wide, eight thousand year old, oak tree on seabed at BC-II recorded in 2003.

Figure 3D20. Area of seabed inspected and surveyed at BCII.

Figure 3D21. The darkened area within the survey grid had been subject to greatest erosion since 2003/4.

Figure 3D22. Two bathymetric surveys conducted of the same area show plans 10 years apart. The different colours represent different depths.

Figure 3D23. An undercut running 1.1m beneath the peat cover. It is located at the western end of the survey area.

Figure 3D24. Loose worked flints recovered by divers following their discovery on the seafloor at Bouldnor Cliff.

Figure 3D25. Interface between the peat deposit and the underlying fine sandy silt.

Figure 3D26. The surface of the fine sandy silt matrix shown shortly after it was exposed but before sampling and excavation

Figure 3D27. Three clusters of flints were noted at the base of the evaluation trench.

Figure 3D28. A selection of flakes and bladelets recovered during the fieldwork in 2012/13.

Figure 3D29. A selection of cores recovered during the fieldwork in 2012/13.

Figure 3D30. Caudal view of the Auroch trichlae that was recovered from the eroded landscape at Bouldnor Cliff-II.

Figure 3D31. Erosion monitoring plan of BC-V.

Figure 3D32. Undersides of split and worked timber from BC-V.

Figure 3D33. Opposite side of the two split timbers recovered in 2014.

Figure 3D34. Plan of the wood working site at BC-V.

Figure 3D35. The 1.1m long timber recovered in 2012 was found lying perpendicular to the hypothesised log boat where it would have provided support at its southern end.

Figure 3D36. Close up of the distinctive cut 0.7m from the base of the recovered timber.

Figure 3D37. A smaller piece of fashioned and worked timber was recovered from the floor of the trench extended in 2012.

Figure 3D38. Peat deposits in north west Solent are signified by the hashed boxes.

Figure 3D39. Section at the top of the 1.5m high cliff off Tanners hard.

Figure 3D40. The railway wheel that is used as a sinker and monitoring point for cliff erosion.

Figure 3D41. The loss of mudflats from the north west Solent have reduced protection for the coastline.

Figure 3D42. Layers of wattle that would have been laid beneath the jetty infill are now exposed and eroding.

Figure 3D43. Pollen diagram from Sample HS01, off Hurst Spit, Hampshire.

Figure 3D44. Bathymetric data showing the seabed west of Hurst Spit.

Figure 3D45. Close up of the bathymetric data highlighting the peat deposit on the seabed west of Hurst Spit.

Figure 3D46. The location of the wrecks within Alum Bay

Figure 3D47. The geological context of Alum Bay

Figure 3D48. The Needles, Needles Point and Alum Bay looking east from the Needles Channel.

Figure 3D49. High chalk cliffs characterise the shoreline along the southern side of Alum Bay and are subject to on-going erosion resulting in significant landslips.

Figure 3D50. Changes to sediment levels at the site of Alum Bay 1 in the area of the hawse holes.

Figure 3D51. Changes to sediment levels at the site of Alum Bay 2 wreck in the northern area of the site where framing timbers are most exposed.

Figure 3D52. Auger Survey off Long Island, Langstone Harbour

Figure 3D53. Marine Seismic survey in Langstone Harbour.

Figure 3D54. Location of key fieldwork sites in Langstone harbour.

Figure 3D55. Location of site T7 on the west coast of Hayling Island.

Figure 3D56. The four post structure and wattlework off Long Island.

Figure 3D57. Mean Sea Level Changes

Figure 3D58. WWII decoy structures on Baker's Island.

Figure 3D59. The remains of the flint walled building are highlighted in red, the associated banks can also be seen.

Figure 3D60. High water mark from 1878 to 2013 around the islands, Langstone Harbour.

Figure 3D61. The black lines show the extent of saltmarsh in 1946, the white shows the extent in 2005.

Figure 3D62. Location of the Auger Survey, Langstone Harbour

Figure 3D63. Seismic profile showing a surficial feature on the seabed (red dashed circle), possibly related to a seabed channel.

Figure 3D64. Spatial distribution of irregular seabed, buried palaeochannels and marked surficial seabed features observed near Baker's Island.

Figure 3D65. Seismic profile in Langstone Channel showing a large buried palaeochannel and scour-related bottom features.

Figure 3D66. Hamble River Oyster Pit site plan and sediment levels.

Figure 3D67. Comparison of Ordnance Survey maps depicting the Oyster Pit from 1868, 1897, 1909 and 1932.

Figure 3D68. Erosion on the small cliff of Burrow Island, recorded in 2013.

Figure 3D69. Comparison of the 1879 town plan showing the remains of the fort, the high water mark and the extent of the intertidal mud, with a modern aerial photograph.

Figure 3D70. Aerial view of the East Winner Bank Wreck taken after the storms of January 2014.

Figure 3D71. Location of historic photographs assessed in the Solent study area.

Figure 3D72. Location of the maps and charts assessed in the Solent study area.

Figure 3D73. Location of artworks assessed in the Solent study area.

Figure 3D74. A view of the entrance to Portsmouth Harbour from the south looking inland.

Figure 3D75: A view of Yarmouth on the north-west coast of the Isle of Wight.

Figure 3D76. The town of Ventnor on the south coast of the Isle of Wight

Figure 3D77. 'View from Portsdown Hill, Portsmouth' by William Daniell RA. 1824

Figure 3D78. 'Portsmouth Harbour and Spithead'. A steel engraving by W. H. Bartlett (1848).

Figure 3D79. A watercolour drawing from the top of Portsdown Hill looking south by William Turner of Oxford (1854).

Figure 3D80. 'Yarmouth from the west', a copper plate engraving by S. Barth and J. King (1813).

Figure 3D81. View of the mouth of the Western Yar after prolonged rainfall in 2007.

Figure 3D82. 'Yarmouth from the West' by Robert Brandard (1848)

Figure 3D83. 'Yarmouth' by Charles Robertson (1891)

Figure 3D84. View of Ventnor undercliff Landslide complex.

Figure 3D85. 'Ventnor Cove' by Charles Raye. 1825.

Figure 3D86. A view of the same location by William Westall is rather more extensive and even more detailed.

Figure 3D87. 'Ventnor Beach' by Rock & Co. 1863.

Figure 3D88. The rocks depicted in the engraving by Rock & Co have long since been lost through erosion, they identified a former cliffline further seaward and helped to develop this landslide model for the Undercliff.

Figure 3D89. Cross section through the submerged prehistoric landscape at Bouldnor Cliff

Figure 3D90. Images showing the development of the western Solent.

Figure 3D91. The evolution of Langstone Harbour, screen shots from the 4D model showing how the landscape has changed over time.

Figure 3D92. Hurst Spit in 1953 (left) and 2013 (right).

Figure 3D93. Lymington Harbour in 1952 (left) and 2013 (right).

Figure 3D94. Saltmarsh and mudflat regression from 1781, 1934, 1991 and 2013 based on the analysis of historic maps.

Figure 3D95. Reconstruction of the post-medieval landscape prior to the reclamation of Farlington marshes in 1771.

Figure 3D96. The Old Battery on the Needles, Isle of Wight.

Figure 3E1. Map of the West Dorset and East Devon case study area

Figure 3E2. A view looking eastwards along the Lyme Regis Dorset frontage.

Figure 3E3. East Cliff at Lyme Regis.

Figure 3E4. Location of artworks in the West Dorset and East Devon study area

Figure 3E5. 'Bridport Harbour' (West Bay) by William Daniell RA (1825).

Figure 3E5. The present day view with the much more extensive beach on the east side of the twin harbour arms compared with the western beach.

Figure 3E6. Sediment transport in Lyme Bay Dorset

Figure 3E7. 'Lyme Regis from Charmouth' by William Daniell RA; 1825.

Figure 3E8. 'Lyme Regis' from the Charmouth road at the top of the cliff looking westwards across the town (by Daniel Dunster).

Figure 3E9 A view of the great landslide that took place at Bindon and Dowlands to the west of Lyme Regis on Christmas Day 1839.

Figure 3E10: The area of the Bindon and Dowlands landslip was studied in detail and the geomorphology was mapped.

Figure 3E11: A further view of the landslide at Bindon and Dowlands is shown in this lithograph.

Figure 3E12: A view of the Bindon landslide by the prolific watercolourist Alfred Robert Quinton, c.1900.

Figure 3E13: This view of the coast west of Lyme Regis shows how the geomorphology is now largely obscured by vegetation.

Figure 3E14: 'Fishing Cove of Beer' by Edward William Cooke RA; 1858.

Figure 3E15: A detailed watercolour of Beer beach and cliffs by Arthur W. Perry (c.1900).

Figure 3E16: Watercolour by Alfred Robert Quinton also painted c.1900

Figure 3F1: Map of the West Cornwall case study area

Figure 3F2: Map of West Cornwall by Thomas Moule 1840

Figure 3F3: A nook near The Lizard by John Mogford; 1878.

Figure 3F4. Location of the artworks.

Figure 3F5: Gyllynvase Beach near Falmouth, Cornwall by Alfred Robert Quinton; c.1900. I

Figure 3F6: A view of St Michael's Mount engraved in about 1850.

Figures 3F7 and 3F8: Two views of St Michael's Mount by William Daniell RA; 1825.

Figures 3F9. The present day view of St Michaels Mount;

Figure 3F10 was painted by Alfred Robert Quinton in about 1900.

Figure 3F11: The view by Henry B. Wimbush and is of a similar date to Figure 3F.10

Figure 3F12: Present day view. Courtesy of T.Bakes

Figure 3F13: Carbis Bay, St Ives by Alfred Robert Quinton; c.1900.

Figure 3G1. Map of the North Cornwall and North Devon case study area

Figure 3G2 Map showing the distribution of all sites assessed within the North Cornwall and North Devon study area.

Figure 3G3 Map showing distribution of highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the North Cornwall and North Devon study area.

Figure 3G4. Map showing the location of the submerged forest at Crooklets Beach from the 1880 Ordnance Survey Map overlain on 2013 Aerial Photography (CCO).

Figure 3G5. Map showing the 1880 Ordnance Survey overlain on 2013 Aerial Photography (CCO) around Maer Cliff.

Figure 3G6: Painting by Joseph Stannard c. 1830 showing Nanny Moore's Bridge in Bude

Figure 3H1. Map of the Côte d'Emeraude study area.

Figure 3H2. The Saint-Malo/Paramé dyke after the 1905 storm

Figure 3H3. Evolution of the Verger Bay case study site,

Figure 3H4. The Alet (Saint-Servan, Saint-Malo) castellum and harbour, during the Roman period

Figure 3H5. The "Viking camp" of Gardaine, Saint-Suliac

Figure.3H6. Saint-Servan harbour in the early 20th century

Figure 3H7. The Grand Bé island, at low tide, Saint-Malo

Figure 3H8. Map of the Côte d'Emeraude study area, featuring the protected zones

Figure 3H9. Location of art images (paintings) in the Côte d'Emeraude case study area.

Figure 3H10. Location of historic photos in the the Cote d'Emeraude case study area

Figure 3H11. Map of Saint-Malo "island" (1758) featuring the limits of low and high tides and the limited access to the fortified city.

Figure 3H12. Various views (postcards) and painting of the Guildo castle

Figure 3H13. Saint-Malo, ancient map (16-17th century)

Figure 3H14. The town of Dinard, view of Saint-Malo since the Moulinet cape,

Figure 3H15. Saint-Briac, Port Hue beach

Figure 3H16. Cap Fréhel, yesterday and today

Figure 3H17. Saint-Malo, location of the Mesolithic site on the La Varde cape and of the underwater fishtrap on Marine map from SHOM (1 and 2) and dams of the fish trap visible on the aerial view (2)

Figure 3H18. Saint-Malo, underwater survey views of the Daviers fihtrap.

Figure 3H19. Map of the Daviers (Saint-Malo) area during the Mesolithic period

Figure 3H20. Saint-Servan (Alet) promontory and coastal evolution of the ancient harbour:

Figure 3H21. Saint-Servan (Alet) promontory and coastal evolution of the ancient harbour.

Figure 3I1. Map of the Trégor- North Finistère study area

Figure 3I2. Map of the Trégor- North Finistère study area, with present administrative limits and main zones mentioned in the text.

Figure 3I3. Geological map of the Trégor-North Finistère study area

Figure 3I4. Mapping of the Bay de Lannion channels

Figure 3I5. The Trébeurden new sailing harbour, built in the 1990'

Figure 3I6. Mapping of the archaeological sites of the area

Figure 3I7. Mapping of the archaeological remains of fish traps in the study area

Figure 3I8. A Neolithic or Bronze Age standing stone nowadays located in the tidal area,

Figure 3I9. Iron Age salt production in the Trégor area.

Figure 3I10. The Yaudet fortified promontory and surrounding maritime installations

Figure 3I11. Reconstitution of the roman bathhouse of Plestin-les-Grèves, Hogolo

Figure 3I12. Remains of the granite quarry activity in the Trégor area

Figure 3I13. Early 20th century promotion of Plestin-les-Grèves seaside station

Figure 3I14. Curves for the Holocene sea level variations

Figure 3I15. Comparison of 1952 and 2000 aerial photographs illustrating the accretion of the Guissény (Northern Finistère) beach

Figure 3I16. Protected zones and management areas for the Trégor-Goelo region

Figure 3I17. Protected zones and management areas for the North Finistère area

Figure 3I18. Mapping areas at risk of coastal flooding established under the PPR-SM for the municipality of Guissény

Figure 3I19. Map showing the distribution of ranked archaeological sites within the Trégor and North-Eastern Finistère study area.

Figure 3I20. Map showing the distribution of the highest scores sites within the Trégor and North-Eastern Finistère study area.

Figure 3I21. The Loguivy harbour while low tide by Henri Rivière, 1905

Figure 3I22. Location of art images within the Trégor – North Finistère case study.

Figure 3I23 Location of historic photos in the Trégor – North Finistère case study area.

Figure 3I24. View of the pointe du Toulinguet (Finistère) geology, around 1910

Figure 3I25. View of the great standing stone of Melon island (Porspoder).

Figure 3I26. View of the pre-Roman ford of Pont Crac'h (Aber Wrac'h)

Figure 3I27. Location of the maps assessed along the Trégor and Finistère coastline.

Figure 3I28. Location of the Bay of Lannion and Léguer estuary

Figure 3I29. Recent evolution of the Léguer estuary and flood channels

Figure 3I30. Location and geomorphological characteristics of the cores carried out in the Léguer estuary

Figure 3I31. Detailed stratigraphic reconstruction in the place of the 'Big Hole' sand extraction with location of the radiocarbon dating

Figure 3I32. Mapping of the Léguer estuary fish traps, according to their building date evaluation

Figure 3I33. Aerial view of the Petit Taureau fish weir showing a cumulative view of the various building stages

Figure 3I34. Drawing proposing a reconstitution of the D1 dams' building phase

Figure 3I35. Evolution of the visibility on the Petit-Taureau (Serval-Lannion) fish-traps

Figure 3I36. Mapping of the wooden installation of the Petit Taureau fish trap and distribution of the dendrology dating

Figure 3I37. Evolution and phases of dams' construction, Petit-Taureau fish weir (Serval-Lannion)

Figure 3I38. Ushant, "Grands rochers près du Sémaphore", by E. Lansyer, 1884, analysis of the painting by E. Motte

Figure 3I39. "Rivière près du Dourduff", by E. Lansyer, 1874, analysis of the painting by E. Motte

Figure 3I40. Views of Brest harbour and castle

Figure 3I41. Views of the tidal Men Ozach standing stone (menhir), Plouguerneau (Finistère)

Figure 3I42. Views of the tidal Neolithic passage of Lerret in Kerlouan village (Finistère)

Figure 3I43. View of the Late Bronze Age or Iron Age burials,

Figure 3I44. View of the Port-Blanc (Penvenan) current protection dyke

Figure 3I45. Chart featuring the Bay of St-Michel-en-Grèves

Figure 3I46 . Photo of the "Croix de mi-lieu" located along the ancient Roman pathway

Figure 3I47. Ancient map 'Tréguier Port-Blanc' (1771-1785)

Figure 3I48. Analysis of the Men Ozach standing stone (Plouguerneau, Finistère)

Figure 3I49. Distribution of the Megalithic monuments (Neolithic and early Bronze Age) in the north west Finistère area

Figure 3I50. 3D reconstruction of the Léguer estuary during Middle Ages, showing the location of the main fish traps and settlements

Figure 3I51. Combination of visual documents of the Neolithic passage grave of the Kernic Bay (Plouescat, Finistère).

Figure 3J1. Map of the Cornouailles study area.

Figure 3J2. Simplified map of the Cornouailles geology

Figure 3J3. Glenan archipelago (Finistère): hypothesis for the landscape evolution with the situation during early Neolithic period (left) and Iron Age (right)

Figure 3J4. Glenan archipelago archaeological heritage (monuments and settlements)

Figure 3J5. Beg-an-Dorchenn (Pointe de la Torche), Penmar'ch, stratigraphy coupe du sondage 2001

Figure 3J6. Mésolithic site and Neolithic passage grave of Pointe de la Torche/Beg and Dorchen

Figure 3J7. Neolithic standing stone lying in the tidal area in the Loctudy port (Finistère)

Figure 3J8. Stone cists burial (late Bronze Age or early Iron Age), Loc'h island, Glénan archipelago

Figure 3J9. The medieval cemetery in the dunes of Saint-Urnel (Plomeur) under excavations in the 1920s'

Figure 3J10. The medieval chapel Notre-Dame-de-la-Joie (Kerity, Penmarc'h), ancient postcard from Villard c. 1900

Figure 3J11. Les ramasseurs de varech by Howard Russell Butler, 1886

Figure 3J12. The sardines preserve factory Cassegrain at Saint-Guérolé-Penmarc'h in 1920

Figure 3J13. La Torche Plomeur, WWII blockhouse in the beach, Finistère

Figure 3J14. Cover of the collective book "La route des peintres en Cornouailles, 1850-1950"

Figure 3J15. Map showing the distribution of all the protection measures in the study area.

Figure 3J16. Map showing the distribution of the areas own by the Conservatoire du Littoral in the study area.

Figure 3J17. Location of art images in the Cornouailles study area.

Figure 3J18. Location of historic photos in the Cornouailles study area

Figure 3J19. Location of the maps assessed along the Cornouailles coastline.

Figure 3J20. Section of the 'Carte des ingénieurs Géographes du Roy' (18th century) featuring the Glénan archipelago

Figure 3J21. The Loc'h island, Glénan archipelago

Figure 3J22. The Kerity village, by C.F. Daubigny (1871), analysis by E. motte

Figure 3J23. Kerity - Penmarc'h by Charles François Daubigny, 1867, analysis by E. Motte

Figure 3J24. 'Kerity miln (Penmarc'h)

Figure 3J25. 'Chapel Notre-Dame-de-la-Joie , Kerity-Penmarc'h.

Figure 3J26. Les vanneuses à Kéridy by Karl Daubigny 1868

Figure 3J27. The Penglaouic standing stone, Pont L'Abbé river mouth (Finistère)

Figure 3J28. The Neolithic tidal forest (oak trees) of the Concarneau beach, as it could be recently seen (19/02/2014).

Figure 3J29. Combined document used for the analysis of the coastal change in the Lesconil port (Finistère, Cornouaille).

Figure 3J30. Combined document used for the analysis of the coastal change in the Lesconil port (Finistère, Cornouaille).

Figure 3J31. Combined document used for the analysis of the coastal change in the Lesconil port (Finistère, Cornouaille).

Figure 3K1. Map of the Quiberon peninsula and Morbihan study areas.

Figure 3K2. Various features of the Quiberon peninsula coastal landscapes

Figure 3K3. Simplified geology of the Quiberon peninsula

Figure 3K4. Palaeo reconstruction of the Morbihan and Quiberon peninsula environment

Figure 3K5. Palaeo reconstruction of the Morbihan and Quiberon peninsula environment .

Figure 3K6. Hypothesis on the island vs continental evolution of the Quiberon peninsula/island

Figure 3K7a. The major archaeological sites of the Quiberon peninsula, excluded the fish weirs presented in a separate figure (map by L. Quesnel and M.Y. Daire).

Figure 3K7b. The ancient fish weirs of the Quiberon peninsula

Figure 3K8. Tévéc Mesolithic cemetery.

Figure 3K9. Quiberon standing stones in Conguel (left) and Manémour

Figure 3K10. Plan of the Conguel passage grave

Figure 3K11. The Neolithic Groh-Collé site during the excavation by J.N. Guyodo

Figure 3K12. Kerbougne, submerged part of the megalithic site.

Figure 3K13. Thinic islet, Portivy-Quiberon

Figure 3K14. The Kermarker site, the roman remains are currently buried under the dune

Figure 3K15. The ancient cemetery in Saint-Clément, sarcophagus probably from the Carolingian period (751 à 987)

Figure 3K16. The Fort Penthièvre, view from the north

Figure 3K17. The Quiberon seafront and beach (early 20th century)

Figure 3K18. 'Plage de Portivy', by Maxime Maufra (1907)

Figure 3K19. 'Port de Larmor', by Jules Noël (1868)

Figure 3K20. Excavations on the megalithic monument of Port-Blanc, Quiberon

Figure 3K21. Natural threats on the Quiberon coasts

Figure 3K22. The Quiberon dunes and beach, late 19th century.

Figure 3K23. Evolution of the shoreline (accretion, progradation and erosion) along the coasts of the Morbihan department.

Figure 3K24 Evolution of the Penthièvre isthmus and coastal management

Figure 3K25 Archaeology ranking in the case study area

Figure 3K26. Map showing the distribution of the highest scores sites within the case study area

Figure 3K27. Location of art images within the Quiberon and Morbihan case study area.

Figure 3K28. La crique côté Quiberon by Maxime Maufra (1903)

Figure 3K29 Location of historic photos in the Quiberon and Morbihan case study area.

Figure 3K30. The tidal megalithic monument of Pont Sal

Figure 3K31. Location of the maps assessed in the Quiberon-Morbihan case study area.

Figure 3K32. Section of the Cassini map (late 17th century)

Figure 3K33. Map of the Gulfe of Morbihan entrance and southern part

Figure 3K34. Location map of the Beg er Vil site

Figure 3K35. View of the Beg er Vil site in 2013, from the south

Figure 3K36. Stratigraphy of the archaeological layer of the Beg er Vil site along the coast

Figure 3K37. Stratigraphy of the archaeological layer of the Beg er Vil site

Figure 3K38. Digital Terrain Model made near the archaeological site of Beg er Vil

Figure 3K39. The Beg er Vil site in march 2011.

Figure 3K40. Evolution of the coastline in the background with the image of Géolittoral 2011

Figure 3K41. Kinematics of coastline around the site of Beg-er-Vil

Figure 3K42. Panoramic view of the Beg er Vil site from the western bay

Figure 3K43. The Beg er Vil site during the 2013 excavations, panoramic view

Figure 3K44. The fish weirs of Port-Haliguen and Saint-Julien.

Figure 3K45. Sonar image of the fish trap Port-Haliguen 1

Figure 3K46. Sonar image of the fish trap Port-Haliguen 2

Figure 3K47. Image presenting the result of sub bottom sediment penetrator on Port-Haliguen 1

Figure 3K48. Image presenting the result of sub bottom sediment penetrator on Port-Haliguen 2

Figure 3K49. Image presenting the result of sub bottom sediment penetrator (CF-1) on Saint-Julien 4

Figure 3K50. Image presenting the result of sub bottom sediment penetrator (CF-4) on Saint-Julien 4

Figure 3K51: Image presenting the result of sub bottom sediment penetrator (CF-8 and 9) on Saint-Julien 4

Figure 3K52. Infilling stones on Port-Haliguen 1 (scale placed crossways on the alignment SSW)

Figure 3K53 Pêcheurs ramassant leur senne près de l'isthme de Penthievre, by Elodie La Vilette, 1880, analysis of the painting by E. Motte

Figure 3K54 Quiberon, cavernes de la côte sauvage, by Christophe Paul de Robien, 1753-56, analysis of the painting by E. Motte

Figure 3K55. La crique de Port-Bara, by Elodie La Vilette, c. 1880, analysis of the painting by E. Motte

Figure 3K56. L'arche de Port Blanc, by Maxime Maufra, c. 1880, and the current view of the site

Figure 3K57. Topo-bathymetric map of the southern peninsula of Quiberon and toponymy major seamounts

Figure 3K58. Synthesis of the main studies held to determine the thickness, the nature and age of submarine sediments between the Quiberon Peninsula and the Bay of Vilaine

Figure 3K59. Quiberon, grotto of Port-Bara" by L. Symonnot - 1929

Figure 3K60. Combined documents on the Golfe of Morbihan area.

Figure 3K61. Combined documents on the Er Lannic megalithic site.

Figure 3L1: Area of Raversijde.

Figure 3L2: Pre-Quaternary (top-Paleogene) topography of the Belgian continental shelf and coastal plain, and its four valley systems

Figure 3L3: Schematic evolution of the Belgian coastline during the Holocene

Figure 3L4: Very tentative reconstruction of the coastal plain around 9000 BP

Figure 3L5: Very tentative reconstruction of the coastal plain around 7500 BP

Figure 3L83: Very tentative reconstruction of the coastal plain around 5500 BP

Figure 3L84: Very tentative reconstruction of the coastal plain around 3500 BP

Figure 3L85: Very tentative reconstruction of the coastal plain in the Early Middle Age

Figure 3L86: Map of the medieval island “Testerep”, with the most likely location of Walraversijde indicated in red.

Figure 3L87: Map of present-day Raversijde.

Figure 3L88: Remnants of the trench systems and peat digging on the beach of Raversijde.

Figure 3L89: Aerial photo of peat excavation remnants at the beach of Raversijde

Figure 3L90: Ground-plan of a late medieval house discovered on the beach of Raversijde, picture taken in 1950's

Figure 3L91: Map of Ostend and surroundings

Figure 3L92: Overview of the “Vlaamse Baaie” initiative,

Figure 3L93: Motion sensor and GPS antenna attached to the pole holding the transducer source.

Figure 3L94: Seismic networks recorded in 2007 (blue lines) and 2010 (black lines) offshore Raversijde.

Figure 3L95: Left: Overview of the 2010 small-scale seismic network, electromagnetic (EMI) survey areas and core locations in a small intertidal area. Right: close-up of the EMI data (apparent electrical conductivity ECa, in mSm-1) (red=high conductivity, blue=low conductivity).

Figure 3L96: Left: Taking a Van der Staay core on the beach at Raversijde. Right: describing the core contents.

Figure 3L97: Seismic network in the intertidal area recorded in 2012

Figure 3L98: Seismic networks recorded in 2014 .

Figure 3L99: Hand augering on the beach at Raversijde

Figure 3L100: Location of the hand corings and CPTs on the beach at Raversijde.

Figure 3L 101: Cone penetration testing on the beach at Raversijde.

Figure 3L102: Seafloor topography map of the survey area based on the high-frequency (100 kHz) seismic data.

Figure 3L103: Seismic profiles offshore Raversijde showing small irregularities in the sea floor.

Figure 3L104: Seismic profiles offshore Raversijde showing two breakwater constructions and associated erosion areas.

Figure 3L105: Interpretation map showing the palaeogully system observed offshore Raversijde.

Figure 3L106: Seismic profile parallel to the shore showing various palaeogully systems

Figure 3L107: Seismic profile parallel to the shore showing a large and wide palaeochannel system, possibly related to the Yde gully.

Figure 3L108: Tentative interpretation map of the palaeogully system (thick green lines) in different intertidal areas.

Figure 3L109: Seismic profile crossing the offshore EMI area. Depth in m below MLWL.

Figure 3L110: Seismic profiles showing the different strong reflectors observed in the intertidal area in 2012

Figure 3L111: Seismic profile showing a strong shallow reflector on the right marked by irregular gaps (black dotted circle).

Figure 3L112: Spatial distribution of strong shallow reflectors in the intertidal area.

Figure 3L113: Results of the 2012 electromagnetic measurements in the intertidal area

Figure 3L114: CPT-log 11, clearly showing a peat layer (marked by the arrow) at 2 - 2.5 m depth.

Figure 3L115: Map of Ostend around 1560

Figure 3M116: Overview of Waasland study area

Figure 3M117: Digital elevation model (DHM) of the Waasland (Scheldt) polder area.

Figure 3M118: Presence of the Mid Weichsel braided river deposit in the Scheldt polders

Figure 3M119: The church of Verrebroek in 1602,

Figure 3M120: The Prosperhoeve in Prosperpolder, second half nineteenth century

Figure 3M121: Example of an embankment map of the Nieuw-Arenbergpolder

Figure 3M122: Schematic of the Hedwige- and Prosperpolder before (top) and after (bottom) the de-embankment plans

Figure 3M123: Terminology for cone penetrometers

Figure 3M124: Overview of CPT measurements in Doelpolder-Noord.

Figure 3M125: Left – CPT truck used for CPT measurements in the polder. Right - Mobile CPT rig used for CPT measurements on the marsh.

Figure 3M126: Location of the two land seismic lines recorded at Doelpolder-Noord.

Figure 3M127: Marine seismic reflection principle.

Figure 3M128: Left – seismic network on the Scheldt river. Right – boat used for measurements on the inland creeks of Doelpolder-Noord.

Figure 3M129: Comparison of a sediment core litholog (left) and nearby electric CPT measurement (middle and right) in Doelpolder-Noord.

Figure 3M130: Shear wave velocities calculated from the arrival times in the seismograms (left) and corresponding lithology.

Figure 3M131: Correlation of land seismic data in Doelpolder-Noord (bottom) with nearby deep cores (top).

Figure 3M132: Examples of two seismic profiles in the intertidal area.

Figure 3M133: Topographical details in various historical maps

Figure 3M134: Distortion grid and displacement circles for the map of Coeck

Figure 3M135: part of a “cartouche” mentioning both measured surfaces as the exact date of manufacturing of a map of the Doelpolder

Figure 3M136: Total map rank according to manufacturing date of the original maps.

Figure 3M137: Comparison of a low ranking map, high ranking map and actual former location of the tidal channels

Figure 3M138: Location of data points used for the reconstruction

Figure 3M139: Palaeogeographical maps of the Waasland Scheldepolders around 7500 BP

Figure 3M140: Map of 1575, made by land surveyor François in 1575

Figure 3M141: GIS-landscape reconstruction of the Waasland polder area around 1570

Figure 3M142: Map of Coeck

Figure 3M143: GIS-landscape reconstruction of the Waasland polder area for 1625

Figure 3M144: Maps of the Peerdenschor

Figure 3M145: GIS-landscape reconstruction of the Waasland polder area for 1700.

Figure 3M146: Tidal marsh surrounding the Doelpolder, 1813

Figure 3M147: GIS-landscape reconstruction of the Waasland polder area for 1790.

Figure 3M148: Topografische Militaire Kaart, 1850 (fragment, left) and Maps of Vandermaelen, 1854 (fragment, right).

Figure 3M149: GIS-landscape reconstruction of the Waasland polder area for 1850.

Figure 3N150: Rotterdam and surroundings with (rough) indication of the field study areas.

Figure 3N151: Legend for the palaeogeographical reconstructions.

Figure 3N152: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 9000 BC.

Figure 3N153: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 5500 BC

Figure 3N154: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 3850 BC.

Figure 3N155: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 2750 BC.

Figure 3N156: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 1500 BC.

Figure 3N157: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 500 BC.

Figure 3N158: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 100 AD.

Figure 3N159: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 800 AD

Figure 3N160: Schematic cross-section showing the development of four generations of channels, embankment, subsidence of the land surface and increase of the maximum tide levels in Zeeland.

Figure 3N161: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 1250 AD.

Figure 3N162: Darinck delven or selnering (peat digging for salt extraction) in Zeeland around the 16/17th century.

Figure 3N163: Historic pictures of the catastrophic effect of a dike burst in 1651.

Figure 3N164: The storm surge calendar of the Southwestern Netherlands

Figure 3N165: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 1500 AD.

Figure 3N166: Palaeogeographical reconstruction of the Southwestern Netherlands about 1750 AD.

Figure 3N167: Location of the study area of Vergulde Hand West (VHW) in the Vlaardingen Township

Figure 3N168: Map of the VHW study area with the location of the sectors West, Middle East and Canoe.

Figure 3N169: Impressions of the VHW excavation in 2005.

Figure 3N170: Regional landscape reconstruction of the Rijn-Maas delta during the Holocene

Figure 3N171: Geological and archaeological chronostratigraphical scheme of the Holocene with the regional lithostratigraphy in the area of the VHW

Figure 3N172: Location map and lithostratigraphic cross-section of the Holocene deposits of the VHW and surrounding area

Figure 3N173: Stratigraphic scheme of the VHW location, in which the local lithological layers of the sectors East, West, Middle and Canoe are classified in time.

Figure 3N174: Lithostratigraphic cross-sections of the pit profiles of the sectors West, Middle and East.

Figure 3N175: Pictures of the lithostratigraphical units exposed in the pit profiles of the VHW.

Figure 3N176: Pictures of the intrusion clays in peat profiles of the VHW.

Figure 3N177: Picture of differential subsidence of the BPA clay layer caused by autocompaction of the peat which was induced by gravitational forces during the clay deposition of the BPA layer.

Figure 3N178: Location of the Yangtze harbour within the Maasvlakte area

Figure 3N179: Aerial view of the Yangtze harbour aer the cut-through of ther hatbour between Maastvlakte 1 and 2 in 2013

Figure 3N180: Location map of the Yangtze harbour study area.

Figure 3N181 Map of the top of Late Pleistocene / early Holocene sand surface

Figure 3N182: Schematic classification of the main landscape types within a funnel shaped river mouth.

Figure 3N183: Schematic representation of the sedimentary environments in the Yangtze harbour area around 7000 BC.

Figure 3N184: Contemporary airial view of the Cumberland Marshes in Canada, a representative picture of the landscape of the Yangtze harbour around 7000 BC.

Figure 3N185: Geogenetic, stepped approach applied in the prospection study of the Yangtze harbour. For each phase, the activities carried out, the techniques used products delivered are mentioned.

Figure 3N186: Image of the Yangtze harbour cores in sediment description laboratory of Deltares / TNO in Utrecht.

Figure 3N187: Geological west – east cross-section through the Late Pleistocene / early Holocene deposits of the study area.

Figure 3N188: Stratigraphic table of the Early Holocene, with the time stratification of the lithological units of the study area.

Figure 3N189: East-west cross-section of selection area East, geological interpretation based on the data presented in Figure 3N191.

Figure 3N190: Map of the top sand surface of the Late Pleistocene / early Holocene deposits of selection area West

Figure 3N191: Results of the seismic survey of line 38 of selection area East, including CPT and bore hole data.

Figure 3N192: Results of the seismic survey of line 07 of selection area West, including CPT and bore hole data.

Figure 3N193: East-west cross-section of selection area West, geological interpretation based on the data presented in Figure 3N192.

Figure 3N194: Diagram of percentages of the relative abundance of the ecological diatom assemblages

Figure 3N195: Diagram of the pollen assemblages analyzed in samples of different lithological units present in the cores of borehole B37A0705

Figure 3N196: The underwater “excavation” recorded in pictures of the archaeological survey in 2012.

Figure 3N197: Landscape reconstruction of the VHW location (1600 BC – 1050 AD).

Figure 3N198: Profile reconstruction of the landscape in sector East between 550 BC –2000 AD. Location of the profile, see Figure 3N168.

Figure 3N199: Summary of the archaeological indicators found in the peat and clay layers of the different sectors on the VHW location.

Figure 3N200: Time –depth curves of the Early Holocene sea-level and groundwater table rise.

Figure 3N201: Map reconstruction of the drowning of the landscape of selection area West for the time steps: 8400, 7500, 7000 and 6450 BC

Figure 3N202: Profile reconstruction of the drowning of the landscape of selection area West for the time steps: 6700, 6450, 6300 and 5800 BC. .

Figure 3N203: Synthesis of the lithostratigraphy, sedimentary environments, and dry / “optimal” land surface in time, related to ground- and sea-level rise.

Section Four – Analysis.

Figure 4.1. Map showing the location of the highest ranking archaeological/palaeoenvironmental sites assessed during the project.

Figure 4.2. Map showing the location of the highest ranking artworks assessed during the project.

Figure 4.3. Location of historic maps assessed.

Figure 4.4. Location of the highest ranking historic photographs assessed.

Figure 4.5. Location of fieldwork sites selected for detailed investigation

Figure 4.6. Reconstruction of Langstone Harbour during the Mesolithic period,

Figure 4.7. Seismic profile in Langstone Channel showing a large buried palaeochannel.

Figure 4.8. Seismic profile showing a large buried palaeochannel

Figure 4.9. Comparison of 1952 and 2000 aerial photography

Figure 4.10. The changing coast of the Bay of Lannion from the Mesolithic to the Iron Age.

Figure 4.11. Series of palaeogeographical maps of the Waasland Scheldt poders from 9000BC to 500BC

Figure 4.12. 2D profile reconstructions from the site of vergulde Hand West

Figure 4.13. 2D plan view reconstructions of Yangtze Harbour

Figure 4.14. Engraving by Félix Benoist of the Brittany Peninsula, compared with a photograph from 2013

Figure 4.15. 'Ventnor Cove' by Charles Raye. 1825.

Figure 4.16. A view of the same location by William Westall

Figure 4.17. 'Ventnor Beach' by Rock & Co. 1863.

Figure 4.18. The rocks depicted in the engraving by Rock & Co have long since been lost through erosion, they identified a former cliffline further seaward and helped to develop this landslide model for the Undercliff

Figure 4.19. Painting of the Island of Grand Bé at St Malo c1850,

Figure 4.20. 'Brading, Isle of Wight' (1823) by William Daniell RA.

Figure 4.21. Present day view showing how the River Yar has been channelised and development has taken place at Bembridge

Figure 4.22. Sidestrand Church Tower, Norfolk by Charles Frederick Rump shows the close proximity of the structure to the cliff edge

Figure 4.23. A photograph of the tower even closer to the cliff edge. If the height of the structure is known an assessment can be made from paintings and photographs of the distance to the cliff edge

Figure 4.24. This view of 'West Bay, Dorset'

Figure 4.25. Reconstruction of the Waasland polders post-medieval landscape using historical maps from 1570 to 1850

Figure 4.26. Saltmarsh and mudflat regression in the north west Solent

Figure 4.27. Views of the tidal Men Ozac'h standing stone (menhir), Plouguerneau (Finistère)

Figure 4.28. Hurst Spit in 1953 (left) and 2013 (right).

Figure 4.29. Lymington Harbour in 1952 (left) and 2013 (right).

Figure 4.30. Left: reconstruction of the post-medieval landscape prior to the reclamation of Farlington marshes in 1771.

Figure 4.31. Saint-Servan (Alet) promontory and coastal evolution of the harbour:

Figure 4.32. Saint-Servan (Alet) promontory and coastal evolution of the harbour.

List of Tables

Section One – Introduction.

Table 1. Main geomorphological types of the case study areas

Table 2. Main coastal change processes affecting the case study areas.

Table 3. Key Terminology for Hazard and Risk.

Table 4. The aims and objectives of Shoreline Management Plans

Section Two – Methodology.

Table 2.1 List of data sources for the UK archaeological and palaeoenvironmental data

Table 2.2. UK National Collections of art assessed for the project.

Table 2.3. Sub-Regional UK art collections assessed for the project

Table 2.4. National Collections in France assessed for the project

Table 2.5. Sub-regional collections in France assessed for the project

Table 2.6. List of museums on the 'Road of Painters' assessed for the project

Table 2.7. Archaeological Ranking for Sea-Level Change

Table 2.8. Archaeological Ranking for Environmental Change

Table 2.9. Archaeological Ranking for Temporal Continuity

Table 2.10. Current Site Status for Archaeological/ Palaeoenvironmental Data

Table 2.11. Coastal Context for Archaeological/ Palaeoenvironmental Data

Table 2.12. Summary of the art ranking system

Table 2.13. Summary of the Arch-Manche database table types

Section Three – Case Studies.

Table 3A7. Results of the highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the East Anglia study

Table 3A8. Results of the three archaeological and palaeoenvironmental ranking categories

Table 3A9. Results of the historic photograph ranking

Table 3A10. Results of the map ranking

*Table 3A11. Top art ranking results. (*This image, although lower scoring, provides the only known view of the Lowestoft cliffline prior to its substantial alteration; hence it was selected.)*

Table 3B12. Ranking results showing the highest scoring archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Kent study area.

Table 3B13. Results of the archaeological and palaeoenvironmental three ranking categories

Table 3B14. Highest ranking artworks within the Kent study area

Table 3C15. Results showing the highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Hastings study area

Table 3C16. Top art ranking results for the Hastings case study

Table 3C17. Results of the photo ranking within the Hastings case study area

Table 3C18. Results of the map ranking within the Hastings case study area

Table 3D19 Highest ranking archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites within the Solent study area.

Table 3D2 Detail of the ranking across archaeological and palaeoenvironmental categories for the Solent case study

Table 3D3 Highest scoring photographs from the Solent study area.

Table 3D4 Results of the maps and charts ranking in the Solent study area.

Table 3D5 Results of the art ranking in the Solent study area.

Table 3E1 Art ranking results for West Dorset and East Devon

Table 3F1 Art ranking results for West Cornwall

Table 3G1: Highest ranking archaeological and heritage sites within the North Cornwall and North Devon study area

Table 3G20. Results of the three archaeological and palaeoenvironmental ranking categories

Table 3H1. Top art ranking results for the Côte d'Emeraude study area.

Table 3H2. Top ranking photographs within the Cote d'Emeraude case study area.

Table 3H3. Top ranking maps within the Cote d'Emeraude case study area.

Table 3I.1. Top archaeology and palaeoenvironement ranking results within the Trégor – North Finistère case study area.

Table 3I.2. Detail of archaeology and palaeoenvironemental site ranking results for each category.

Table 3I.3. Top art ranking results within the Trégor – North Finistère case study.

Table 3I.4. Top photo ranking results within the Trégor – North Finistère case study.

Table 3I.5. Top results for map ranking within the Trégor – North Finistère case study.

Table 3I.6. Fish weir heights and dating proposals for their building

Table 3J1. Top art ranking results in the Cornouailles study area.

Table 3J2. Top photo ranking results in the Cornouailles study area.

Table 3J3. Top ranking maps within the Cornouailles study area.

Table 3K1. Top archaeology/palaeoenvironement ranking results.

Table 3K2. Analysis of the top archaeology/palaeoenvironment ranking results.

Table 3K3. Top art ranking results for the Quiberon-Morbihan area.

Table 3K4. Top photos ranking results for the Quiberon-Morbihan area.

Table 3K5. Top ranking maps within the Quiberon-Morbihan case study area.

Section Four – Analysis.

Table 4.1. Highest scoring archaeological and palaeoenvironmental sites from all partner case study areas.

Table 4.2. Highest ranking artists from the case study areas.

Table 4.3. Highest ranking maps from across the partner countries.

Table 4.4. Table of a selection of high ranking photographs from across the partner countries.